UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/771,491	01/27/2001	Raymond Anthony Joao	RJ180	7937
DAVMONID A	7590 11/01/2007	EXAMINER		
RAYMOND A. JOAO, ESQ. 122 BELLEVUE PLACE			VAN BRAMER, JOHN W	
YONKERS, N	Y 10703		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
		·	3622	
			<u></u>	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/771,491	JOAO, RAYMONE	JOAO, RAYMOND ANTHONY			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		John Van Bramer	3622				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES assigns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMU 6(a). In no event, however, ma ill apply and will expire SIX (6) cause the application to becom	JNICATION. ay a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this cone ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Ju</u>	ly 2007 and 09 Augus	<u>st 2007</u> .	•			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims		,				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 101-120 is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 101-120 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.					
Application Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected or by objected or by objected or by or objected in about on is required if the draw	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 CF				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		iew Summary (PTO-413)				
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 09/771,491 Page 2

Art Unit: 3622

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed on July 2, 2007, cancelled claims 81-100 and new claims 101-120 were added. The supplemental amendment filed on August 9, 2007 cancelled no claims, added no claims and amended claim 101. Previous amendments cancelled claims 1-60. Thus, the currently pending claims in the applications are Claims 101-120.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The amendment filed on August 9, 2007 corrected the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112 deficiencies identified in the Office Action dated April 6, 2007 by cancelling claims 81-100. Thus, the examiner withdraws the 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph rejection of claims 81-100, and the 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph rejection of claims 83-86.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 3622

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 101-115, and 118-120 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Capek (U.S. Patent Number: 6,026,369) in view of McCandless ("Web advertising" Intelligent Systems and Their Applications, IEEE, Volume: 13, Issue: 3, May/Jun 1998, page(s): 8-9).

Claim 100, 118, and 119: Capek discloses a computer-implemented method, comprising:

- a. Receiving a first request by a content provider to be notified regarding an occurrence of an event, wherein the event is at least one of a request by a merchant for advertising space, a change in an offered price for an advertising space, and a change in a term or condition for an advertising offering. (Col 3, lines 1-7) (Capek discloses content providers transmitting summary information to the control distribution node which is a request, by the content provider, for notification of merchant wishing to place targeted advertisements that satisfy the criteria set forth in the summary information.)
- b. Receiving at least one of a request by a merchant for advertising space, a change in an offered price for an advertising space, and a change in a term or condition for an advertising offering. (Col 3, lines 9-12) (Capek discloses an advertiser (merchant) requesting advertising space)

Art Unit: 3622

- c. Detecting the occurrence of the event, wherein the occurrence of the event is automatically detected with a processing device, wherein the processing device is capable of servicing a plurality of merchants or is capable of detecting the occurrence of events associated with a plurality of merchants. (Col 3, lines 9-17) (Capek discloses that the arrival of the request by a merchant is detected and process 12 is initiated. Process 12 is used to match the advertisement requests with content providers)
- d. Generating a message containing information regarding the event, wherein the first message is automatically generated by the processing device in response to the occurrence of the event. (Col 3, lines 25-29) (Capek discloses that a placement query is generated and sent to the access providers that satisfy the criteria set forth in the placement request)
- e. Transmitting the message to a computer or a communication device associated with the content provider. (Col 3, lines 25-29) (Capek discloses that a placement query is generated and sent to the access providers that satisfy the criteria set forth in the placement request)
- f. Receiving a second request, wherein the second request is transmitted from the computer or the communication device associated with the content provider, wherein the second request is a request for information regarding an offer by a merchant associated with the event. (Col 3, lines 31-44) (Capek discloses the content providers sending a request indicating the number of placements that they are able to satisfy; this is request for information regarding an offer since the

Art Unit: 3622

content provider is not guaranteed that it will be allocated the number of placements it is requesting.)

- g. Generating a second message, wherein the second message contains at least one of information regarding the offer by the merchant to create or establish an affiliate marketing relationship or an advertising relationship, information regarding the merchant, and contact information regarding the merchant, wherein the second message is generated by the processing device. (Col 3, lines 49-51) (Capek discloses generating and sending information regarding the offer by the merchant to the content providers. The information is the number of placements that the content provider has been chosen to provide.)
- h. Transmitting the second message to the computer or the communication device associated with the content provider. (Col 3, lines 49-51) (Capek discloses generating and sending information regarding the offer by the merchant to the content providers. The information is the number of placements that the content provider has been chosen to provide.)
- i. Receiving information indicating that the content provider has accepted the offer by the merchant to create or establish an affiliated marketing relationship or an advertising relationship. (Col 3, line 66 through Col 4, line 4) (Capek discloses that the content provider sends information regarding the number of placements that they have delivered to their clients. The fact that the content provider delivered the placements to their clients inherently indicates that information sent by the content provider that they have accepted the offer.)

Art Unit: 3622

j. Processing the information indicating that the content provider has accepted the offer by the merchant to create or establish an affiliated marketing relationship or an advertising relationship between the merchant and the content provider, wherein the processing device processes the information indicating that the content provider has accepted the offer by the merchant. (Col 4, lines 4-7) (Capek discloses that the information sent by the content provider, which indicates acceptance of the offer, is processed into a summarization by the CDN)

k. Storing information regarding the affiliated marketing relationship or the advertising relationship between the merchant and the content provider in a database or a memory device. (Col 4, lines 4-7) (Capek discloses that the information sent by the content provider, which indicates acceptance of the offer, is processed into a summarization (stored) by the CDN)

While Capek is silent regarding how the Content Distribution Network (CDN) utilizes the summarized count information that indicates the number of placements served by each content provider, the analogous art of McCandless discloses that various metrics are used to measure web advertising. One such common method is based upon unique impressions which occur when an ad is served to one browser within a fixed time window. The pricing of such ads are typically priced by the number of impressions (Page 2, Col 1, lines 15-29). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the CDN to contact the advertiser and report the placement information in order to receive payment for said placements. The rational for doing so is that there are a

Art Unit: 3622

limited number of predictable ways in which the CDN could be reimbursed for providing to the requested number of placement. One such predictable method of billing for the placement would be to contact the advertiser and provide them with a bill containing a summary of advertisement placements that were provided.

Claim 102: Capek and McCandless disclose the computer-implemented method of Claim 101, wherein the computer implemented method is performed on or over at least one of the Internet and the World Wide Web. (Capek: Col 1, lines 5-20)

Claim 103: Capek and McCandless disclose the computer implemented method of Claim 101, wherein the first message is transmitted to the computer or the communication device in real-time. (Capek: Col 3, lines 15-39)

Claim 104: Capek and McCandless disclose the computer-implemented method of Claim 101, further comprising: transmitting information regarding an advertisement associated with the merchant to a computer associated with the content provider via at least one of the Internet and the World Wide Web. (Capek: Col 1, lines 5-20)

Claim 105: Capek and McCandless disclose the computer implemented method of Claim 104. While Capek does not specifically disclose that the information regarding an advertisement associated with the merchant includes a

Art Unit: 3622

banner advertisement the analogous art of McCandless discloses that the most common type of web advertisements is the banner ad (Page 1, Col 3, line 38 through Page 2, Col 1, line 14). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use banner advertisements in the invention disclosed by Capek. The rational for including banner advertisements is that they are the most common type of web advertisements.

Claim 106: Capek and McCandless disclose the computer implemented method of Claim 104, while Capek and McCandless do not specifically state that the advertisement contains printed matter that include a logo or an icon, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a corporate icon or logo on the advertisement. The rational for including such a logo or icon is that the majority of advertisements include such predictable printed matter. Such printed matter is typically included in order to provide customers with a method of identifying the company that produces the product or service that is advertised.

Claim 107: Capek and McCandless disclose the computer implemented method of Claim 104, wherein the information regarding an advertisement associated with the merchant includes a link to a computer or a web site associated with the merchant. (Capek: Col 4, lines 15-39)

Art Unit: 3622

Claim 108: Capek and McCandless disclose the computer implemented method of Claim 104, further comprising: placing information regarding the advertisement associated with the merchant on a computer or a web site associated with the content provider. (Capek: Col 3, line 66 through Col 4, line 1; and Col 4, lines 15-39)

Claims 109, 110, 112, and 113: Capek and McCandless disclose the computer implemented method of claim 101. While Capek does not specifically recite the determining of a commission or a referral fee due to the content provider pursuant to the affiliated marketing relationship or the advertising relationship, the analogous are of McCandless discloses that charging advertisers based upon based upon the number of unique impressions served (Page 2, Col 1, lines 15-29). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to utilize the placement summary disclosed by Capek to charge the advertiser based upon the impressions identified in the summary and to keep the financial records of such transactions. The rational for doing so is that it is common to use a cost per impression based pricing methodology when charging an advertiser for advertisement placement.

Claim 111: Capek and McCandless disclose the computer implemented method of Claim 101, further comprising: processing information regarding a web

Art Unit: 3622

site or a link visited, utilized, or navigated, by an individual or a user in connecting to a computer or a web site associated with the merchant. (Capek: Col 4, lines 15-39)

Claim 114: Capek and McCandless disclose the computer implemented method of claim 101, further comprising: at least one of storing and providing information regarding a past success rate of an advertisement and a success rate of the content provider. (Col 3, line 66 through Col 4, line 8)

Claim 115: Capek and McCandless disclose the computer implemented method of claim 101. While Capek and McCandless are silent regarding the method used for contacting the merchant to provide information regarding the number of placements server, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to contact the merchant via a common communication means such as the telephone, postal mail, or electronic mail. The rational for doing so is that there are a limited number of predictable ways in which the information could be conveyed to the merchant and e-mail is one such predictable method.

Claim 120: Capek and McCandless disclose the computer implemented method of claim 101, wherein the processing device services a plurality of merchant and services a plurality of content providers. (Capek: Col 2, line 66 through Col 3, line 39)

Art Unit: 3622

5. Claims 116 and 117 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Capek (U.S. Patent Number: 6,026,369) in view of McCandless ("Web advertising" Intelligent Systems and Their Applications, IEEE, Volume: 13, Issue: 3, May/Jun 1998, page(s): 8-9) in further view of Goldhaber (U.S. Patent Number: 5,794,210).

Claims 116 and 117: Capek and McCandless disclose the computer implemented method of claim 101. While Capek and McCandless are silent regarding the administering of a financial account for the merchant or for the content provider, the analogous art of Goldhaber discloses an advertisement server that places advertisements and administers financial accounts for the merchant (Col 20, lines 8-35). Therefore, it would have been obvious for the Content Distribution Network of Capek to administer a financial account for the merchant or the content provider. The rational for doing so is to bring advertising buyers and sellers together more quickly, less expensively, from larger populations, and with greater accuracy than other existing sales mechanisms (Goldhaber: Col 20, lines 36-40).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's amendment dated July 2, 2007, and supplemental amendment dated August 9, 2007 have cancelled all previously prosecuted claims. The

Art Unit: 3622

arguments are directed solely towards the newly submitted claims 101-120. As such the arguments have been addressed in the rejection above.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Van Bramer whose telephone number is (571) 272-8198. The examiner can normally be reached on 6am - 4pm Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber can be reached on (571) 272-6724. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

gus jvb

ERIC W. STAMBER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600